Available online at
http://agrospheresmagazine.vitalbiotech.org/

ISSN (E): 2582 – 7022
Agrospheres:e- Newsletter, (2026) 7(7), 140-143

Agrospheres™ e-Newsletter

Article ID: 1033

Ecological Basis of Biological Control in Temperate Fruit Ecosystem Temperate Fruit Ecosystem

Pawar Shubham Tarasing[1], Sahil Ahmad Dar[2], Kaneez Fatima[1]

[1]Division of Entomology, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, J and K, India.
[2]Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, J and K, India.

*Corresponding Author
Pawar Shubham Tarasing*

Article History
Received: 17.07.2026
Revised: 22.07.2026
Accepted: 27.07.2026

INTRODUCTION

Biological control is an ecologically grounded strategy of managing pest populations in agricultural systems by enhancing the activities of natural enemies' predators, parasitoids, pathogens and other beneficial organisms that suppress pest abundance below economic thresholds. Unlike conventional chemical control, biological control leverages biotic interactions and ecosystem processes to regulate pests, aligning with sustainability goals and reducing environmental harm associated with pesticide use (Bale et al. 2008).

In temperate fruit ecosystems such as apple, pear, peach and other deciduous fruit orchards the structure and stability of perennial agroecosystems provide a framework in which complex food webs develop and biological control agents can play significant regulatory roles. Permanent plant cover, habitat heterogeneity and overwintering niches offer conditions conducive to the persistence and activity of beneficial insects and organisms that naturally limit pest outbreaks

The ecological basis of biological control in these systems is rooted in fundamental species interactions predation, parasitism, competition and host pathogen dynamics occurring within a community context. Biodiversity within orchard landscapes enhances these interactions, contributing to ecosystem services such as pest suppression, which in turn supports yield stability and fruit quality. High natural enemy diversity in orchards often correlates with more effective pest regulation, as diverse communities can exploit a broader range of pest niches and respond differentially across seasonal cycles (Evans, 2016).

ISSN (E): 2582 – 7022

Ecological basis of biological control in temperate fruit ecosystem

1.Community Ecology and Trophic Interactions

Community ecology and trophic interactions in biological control focus on how functional features from plants, herbivores and natural enemies interact to determine ecosystem services. Environmental filters (such as landscape heterogeneity and management intensity) and trophic filters (such as floral shape, nectar chemistry and structural complexity) influence which species thrive and contribute to pest control. Plant features that are aligned with natural enemies require longer bloom periods, more accessible corollas and a favourable nectar composition to improve biological control. Finally, varied groups with complementary features maintain ecosystem processes and promote resistance to agricultural intensification. (Perović et al., 2018; Holt & Hochberg, 2001).

2. Conservation, Augmentation and Classical Biological Control

A. Conservation Biocontrol (most common in temperate fruit orchards)

Conservation biological control emphasizes protecting and enhancing native natural enemies rather than introducing exotic species. It relies on practices such as reducing pesticide use, applying selective or compatible chemicals, maintaining ground cover vegetation and avoiding disruption of predator–prey dynamics. This strategy is especially effective in perennial systems like temperate fruit orchards, where long-term stability allows natural enemy communities to establish and persist.

B. Augmentative Biocontrol

It is a biological pest management approach where natural enemies (predators, parasitoids or pathogens) are deliberately released to suppress pest populations in crops like temperate fruit orchards. Augmentation biological control involves mass-rearing and releasing beneficial organisms like *Trichogramma dendrolimi*, predatory mites and entomopathogenic fungi (*Beauveria bassiana*), supplementing natural populations to boost control efficiency.

Types of Augmentative Biocontrol

- **Inoculative**: Small releases early in the season, allowing natural enemies to establish and multiply.
- **Inundative**: Large releases for immediate, short-term pest suppression.

C. Classical Biocontrol

Classical biological control is a long-term pest management approach where exotic natural enemies from a pest's native habitat are introduced into a new ecosystem to suppress invasive pests. Once established, these natural enemies reproduce and persist, providing self-sustaining control without repeated releases. Classical biological control introduces exotic natural enemies to combat invasive pests, with notable successes such as *Aphelinus mali* against woolly apple aphid and *Typhlodromus occidentalis* against spider mites.

3. Phenology and Seasonal Dynamics

Temperate orchards are characterized by strong seasonal rhythms. Overwintering methods are used by pests and natural enemies and they have a significant impact on population numbers in the spring. To guarantee effective management, parasitoid emergence must coincide with pests most vulnerable stages. Climatic conditions, particularly temperature, influence developmental rates and interaction timing. Even little seasonal variations might upset natural equilibrium, resulting in insect outbreaks. Thus, understanding phenology is critical for accurately anticipating and controlling orchard ecosystems (Han et al. 2011).

4. Intraguild Interactions

In orchard ecosystems, Predators may consume other natural enemies, a behaviour known as intraguild predation, which can limit the effectiveness of pest control. Similarly, parasitoids can compete fiercely for the same host, with one species outperforming or eliminating another. These competing processes can alter community balance and influence pest regulation outcomes. While such interactions may impair control in some situations, they can also help to maintain populations by preventing a single species from becoming dominant. Understanding these linkages is critical for developing robust integrated pest management strategies. (Perdikis et al. 2014).

5. Climate and Environmental Conditions

- Temperature, humidity and light affect pest and natural enemy activity.
- Mites and aphids proliferate in hot, dry conditions; fungal pathogens thrive in humid conditions.
- Orchard floor vegetation influences microclimate and supports predators (Rai et al. 2015).

6. Habitat Structure and Landscape Ecology
A. Orchard Architecture

- Tree density, canopy complexity and groundcover influence microclimates and resource distribution.
- Complex canopies provide refugia for natural enemies, reducing pesticide exposure and improving overwinter survival.

B. Surrounding Landscape

- Woodlots, hedgerows and flowering field margins act as source habitats for natural enemies (Horton, 2024).

Challenges in Temperate Fruit Ecosystems

- **Pesticide Pressure:** Conventional chemical sprays disrupt natural enemy populations.
- **Climate Variability:** Temperature fluctuations affect synchronization between pests and their natural enemies.
- **Monoculture Practices:** Large-scale orchards reduce habitat diversity, limiting biological control agents.
- **Invasive Species:** Non-native pests (e.g., woolly apple aphid, codling moth, Apple leaf blotch miner) may lack effective natural enemies.

CONCLUSION

Biological control in temperate fruit ecosystems is ecologically grounded in natural enemy–pest interactions and habitat diversity. Despite challenges such as pesticide disruption, monoculture practices and climate variability, it offers sustainable, eco-friendly pest management. By integrating biological control into orchard practices, growers can reduce chemical reliance, enhance biodiversity and ensure resilient fruit production systems that support both ecological health and economic viability.

REFERENCE

Bale, J. S., Van Lenteren, J. C., & Bigler, F. (2008). Biological control and sustainable food production. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *363*(1492), 761-776.

Zhou, H., Yu, Y., Tan, X., Chen, A., & Feng, J. (2014). Biological control of insect pests in apple orchards in China. *Biological Control*, *68*, 47-56.

Evans, E. W. (2016). Biodiversity, ecosystem functioning, and classical biological control. *Applied entomology and zoology*, *51*(2), 173-184.

Perović, D. J., Gámez-Virués, S., Landis, D. A., Wäckers, F., Gurr, G. M., Wratten, S. D., & Desneux, N. (2018). Managing biological

ISSN (E): 2582 – 7022

control services through multi-trophic trait interactions: review and guidelines for implementation at local and landscape scales. *Biological reviews*, *93*(1), 306-321.

Holt, R. A., & Hochberg, M. E. (2001). Indirect interactions, community modules and biological control: a theoretical perspective. In *Evaluating indirect ecological effects of biological control. Key papers from the symposium 'Indirect ecological effects in biological control', Montpellier, France, 17-20 October 1999* (pp. 13-37). Wallingford UK: CABI Publishing.

Han, P., Wang, X., Niu, C. Y., Dong, Y. C., Zhu, J. Q., & Desneux, N. (2011). Population dynamics, phenology, and overwintering of Bactrocera dorsalis (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Hubei Province, China. Journal of Pest Science, 84(3), 289-295.

Perdikis, D., Lucas, E., Garantonakis, N., Giatropoulos, A., Kitsis, P., Maselou, D., & Fantinou, A. (2014). Intraguild predation and sublethal interactions between two zoophytophagous mirids, Macrolophus pygmaeus and Nesidiocoris tenuis. Biological Control, 70, 35-41.

Rai, R., Joshi, S., Roy, S., Singh, O., Samir, M., & Chandra, A. (2015). Implications of changing climate on productivity of temperate fruit crops with special reference to apple. J. Hortic, 2(135), 2376-0354.

Horton, D. R. (2024). Psyllids in natural habitats as alternative resources for key natural enemies of the pear psyllids (Hemiptera: Psylloidea). *Insects*, *15*(1), 37.