

## Virus–Virus Interactions: Mechanisms, Examples, and Implications with Special Reference to Finfish and Shellfish Viruses

Shaik Haseena<sup>1</sup>,  
Shubham Janbandhu<sup>2</sup>,  
Vipendra Singh<sup>3</sup>,  
Chonyo Shinglai<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Aquatic Animal Health Management, Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Off Yari Road, Panch Margh, Versova, Andheri West, Mumbai, 400061

<sup>2</sup> Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Fisheries Resource Management, College of Fisheries, Mangalore, Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bidar, Karnataka (575002), India

<sup>3</sup> Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Aquaculture, College of Fisheries, Mangalore, Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bidar, Karnataka (575002), India



\*Corresponding Author  
**Shaik Haseena**\*

### Article History

Received: 1. 1.2026

Revised: 5. 1.2026

Accepted: 10. 1.2026

This article is published under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### INTRODUCTION

Traditional models of viral pathogenesis considered infection primarily as a single virus–host interaction. Advances in molecular virology, viral ecology, and high-throughput sequencing have revealed that hosts are frequently exposed to multiple viruses simultaneously or sequentially (Rosario and Breitbart, 2011). Such co-exposures lead to biologically significant virus–virus interactions (VVIs), defined as measurable alterations in replication kinetics, tissue tropism, pathogenic potential, or host immune responses of one virus due to another virus (Dapalma et al., 2010). Recognition of VVIs expands the conceptual framework from single-agent infection to multi-virus systems, emphasizing their role in shaping viral evolution, disease progression, transmission dynamics, and immune-mediated outcomes. In aquatic ecosystems, finfish and shellfish are continuously exposed to diverse viral communities. Consequently, viral coinfections are epidemiologically significant, often altering disease outcomes in aquaculture systems.

### 2. Classification of Virus–Virus Interactions

VVIs are classified into three major categories:

1. **Direct interactions** involving viral genes or their products.
2. **Indirect interactions** mediated through virus-induced modifications of the host cellular environment.
3. **Immune-mediated interactions** driven by host responses.

**Table 1. Classification of Virus–Virus Interactions**

Direct interactions	Indirect interactions (host environment)	Immune-mediated interactions
Helper-dependent viruses	Indirect transactivation of heterologous viral genes	Altered immune cell activation
Pseudotyped viruses (phenotypic mixing)	Altered host susceptibility due to breakdown of physical barriers	Virus-induced autoimmunity
Superinfection exclusion	Altered host susceptibility due to altered receptor expression	Antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE)
Genomic recombination	Heterologous activation of antiviral pro-drugs	-
Embedded viruses	Modification of the interferon-induced antiviral state	-
Heterologous transactivation	-	-

### 3. Direct Virus–Virus Interactions

Direct VVIs occur when nucleic acids or proteins of one virus physically interact with those of a co-infecting virus, **requiring coinfection of the same host cell**. Latent infections can enable VVIs even years after the initial infection.

#### 3.1 Helper-Dependent Viruses

Helper-dependent viruses are replication-defective and require another virus for productive infection. Non-enveloped viruses dominate naturally occurring helper-dependent viruses. **Replication is autonomous**, but packaging and/or release require a helper virus (Dapalma et al., 2010). Interactions are **bidirectional** in some cases.

#### 3.2 Pseudotyped Viruses

Also known as phenotypic mixing, pseudotyping occurs when progeny virions contain nucleic acids from one virus and structural proteins from another. Observed across bacteriophages, plant viruses, and animal viruses, **pseudotyped virions often have an expanded host range** (Zavada, 1976).

#### 3.3 Superinfection Exclusion

Occurs when a primary infection induces resistance to subsequent infection by similar viruses. Mechanisms include **direct interference by products of the primary virus** (Federico et al., 1996). Documented in bacteriophages, retroviruses, hepadnaviruses, arboviruses, and plant viruses (Brindley et al., 2008).

#### 3.4 Genomic Recombination

Coinfection with multiple strains of the same virus can produce **genetically recombinant progeny**, often with serious health implications (Dapalma et al., 2010).

#### 3.5 Embedded Viruses

Retroviruses integrated into the genomes of large DNA viruses represent embedded viruses (Dapalma et al., 2010).

#### 3.6 Heterologous Transactivation

Gene products of one virus can transactivate the genes of another. Many viruses encode strong promoters and transactivating proteins to **hijack the host transcription machinery**, enhancing viral gene expression (Dapalma et al., 2010).

### 4. Indirect (Environmental) Interactions

Indirect VVIs result from virus-induced changes in the host cellular environment that affect

coinfecting viruses. These can accelerate disease due to **compounded cytopathic effects** (Dapalma et al., 2010).

#### 4.1 Indirect Transactivation of Heterologous Viral Genes

Viral infections often upregulate cellular transcription factors, which may enhance expression of co-infecting viruses' genes. **This is likely the most common type of VVI**.

#### 4.2 Altered Host Susceptibility via Physical Barrier Breakdown

Tissue damage from viral replication can compromise **barriers, facilitating secondary infections**.

#### 4.3 Altered Receptor Expression

Virus-induced modulation of **host receptor density** can enhance susceptibility to other viruses (Agnello et al., 1999).

#### 4.4 Heterologous Activation of Pro-Drugs

Certain antiviral drugs require viral kinase activation. One virus can **activate drugs that act against a second virus** (Lisco et al., 2008).

#### 4.5 Modification of the Interferon-Induced Antiviral State

Type I interferons induce a cellular antiviral state, increasing enzyme expression that **limits replication of co-infecting viruses** (Galligan et al., 2006).

### 5. Immunological Interactions

Immunological VVIs occur in hosts with adaptive immunity and can **persist long after the initial infection**.

#### 5.1 Altered Immune Cell Activation

Viruses may sensitize hosts for subsequent infections by **modifying activation states of immune cells**.

#### 5.2 Virus-Induced Autoimmunity

Sequential infections can trigger **autoimmunity**, especially when viruses express self-like epitopes (Welsh and Fujinami, 2007).

#### 5.3 Antibody-Dependent Enhancement (ADE)

Exposure to immune sera can paradoxically **increase viral replication**, possibly by facilitating entry into host cells (Flint et al., 2004). Mechanisms are not fully understood but involve increased binding efficiency and infection of more cells.

## 6. Significance in Aquaculture

In finfish and shellfish aquaculture, VVIs **complicate disease diagnosis, outbreak prediction, and vaccine efficacy**. Understanding VVIs is essential for **biosecurity, vaccine design, and disease management strategies**.

### CONCLUSION

VVIs are a fundamental aspect of viral ecology and pathogenesis. While aquatic examples are underexplored compared to mammalian systems, available evidence indicates that VVIs **significantly influence disease dynamics in finfish and shellfish**. Experimentally validated aquatic VVIs should be a focus of future research to **improve aquaculture health management and disease control**.

### REFERENCES

- Agnello, V., Abel, G., Elfahal, M., Knight, G. B., & Zhang, Q. X. (1999). Hepatitis C virus and other Flaviviridae viruses enter cells via low density lipoprotein receptor. *PNAS*, *96*(22), 12766–12771. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.22.12766>
- Brindley, M. A., Zhang, B., Montelaro, R. C., & Maury, W. (2008). An equine infectious anemia virus variant superinfects cells through novel receptor interactions. *Journal of Virology*, *82*(19), 9425–9432. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00809-08>
- Dapalma, T., Doonan, B. P., Trager, N. M., & Kasman, L. M. (2010). A systematic approach to virus–virus interactions. *Virus Research*, *149*(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2010.01.010>
- Federico, M., Bona, R., D'Aloja, P., Baiocchi, M., Pugliese, K., Nappi, F., et al. (1996). Anti-HIV viral interference induced by retroviral vectors expressing a non-producer HIV-1 variant. *Acta Haematologica*, *95*(3–4), 199–203. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000204142>
- Flint, S. J., Enquist, L. W., Racaniello, V. R., & Skalka, A. M. (2004). Attachment and entry. In *Principles of Virology* (2nd ed., pp. 127–180). ASM Press.
- Galligan, C. L., Murooka, T. T., Rahbar, R., Baig, E., Majchrzak-Kita, B., & Fish, E. N. (2006). Interferons and viruses: signaling for supremacy. *Immunological Research*, *35*(1–2), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.1385/IR:35:1:27>
- Lisco, A., Vanpouille, C., Tchesnokov, E. P., Grivel, J. C., Biancotto, A., Brichacek, B., et al. (2008). Acyclovir is activated into an HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitor in herpesvirus-infected human tissues. *Cell Host & Microbe*, *4*(3), 260–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2008.08.003>
- Welsh, R. M., & Fujinami, R. S. (2007). Pathogenic epitopes, heterologous immunity, and vaccine design. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *5*(7), 555–563. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1695>
- Zavada, J. (1976). Viral pseudotypes and phenotypic mixing. *Archives of Virology*, *50*(1–2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01317071>